


9.30am, 09 March 2011, Tele/Video Conference

Present: Akatu Marsters, Marita Ranclaud, Anne Ridgway, Sue Mackersey, Tess Ahern, Te Pare Meihana, Eileen Hughes, Hester Hattingh
 9.30am & 11.30am: Roz Sorensen, 10.30am: Joan Mirkin

Apologies: Jeff Bennett, Eseta Nonu-Reid, Maureen Emery, Samir Heble & Linda

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
1.0	Whakatau / Welcome	Informal hello's by all		
1.1	Overview	The meeting was called to discuss the Midland NASC & Needs Assessment projects and give feedback on the reports.		
2.0	AGENDA ITEMS			
2.1	NASC Report	<p>NASC Models</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Huge variation in delivering of NASC ▪ No evidence one model works better than the other ▪ Need to learn and delve into the models and mitigate the weaknesses ▪ Need to be clear what models DHBs are working towards and communicate this to bring awareness <p>Scope of Service Delivery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Some are doing a range of services <p>Funding Perspective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NASC has a critical part to play in prioritisation with limited resources ▪ NASC workforce to support the continuum they need the expertise to do this job well, needs to be recognised – there are training opportunities available <p>Systems and Processes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Inconsistent in the region ▪ DHBS would like to work collaboratively to have consistent approach for assessment of need, processes, document standards and guidelines. ▪ National service specification that needs to be followed <p>Feedback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Taranaki did a survey to review NASC role this identified a need for NGO & Wards 	 S:\LDHB Planning & Funding\Midland Regi Presentation	

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The report supports what is going on in region ▪ To extend the scope this may require additional funding for support workers and packages of care. Terms of equity for those accessing POC they are not going through the same prioritisation process. (resource implications) <p>Community Support</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ How are community support models working in DHBs? Roz is familiar with Northern region, this hasn't been done in the Midland region. – this will need to details with the DHBs. ▪ Some DHBs referral goes to central point and hours allocated to Primary MH contracts and some through GP liaison so this is a portion of community support and can be accessed through this pathway <p>BOP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Comprehensive document and very well presented ▪ Concerns about gaps in BOP, they would like background of information collecting ▪ Important for Mental Health & Addictions NASC services – endorsed in report <p>Taranaki</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All DHB area's are running NASC differently – would like to expand to AoD but limited in resources. NASC in DHB and another in the NGO sector <p>Waikato</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NASC team 5-6FTE provider arm with a team approach and working well. Community support contracts will need another resource to manage this ▪ NASC services hold POC budgets which they manage and community teams are referring to them. NO assessments are done for the POC these are done by the community teams ▪ Team leader is a clinician, team made up with clinicians, social workers, occupational therapist and OTs. Each member has a load of 50 clients per 6months to assess and admin support who looks after POC budgets <p>Lakes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2 FTE integrated alongside MHSOP & do AOD & MH and are the single point of entry for all community support services – this has been happening for the past 8 months <p>Suggested Ways Forward – possible actions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Workforce needs to happen to strengthen NASC locally, draw on places where NASC is working well (drawing from others experiences) “swap shop” ▪ Develop joint training around systems and processes within the region ▪ Look within the region and see what we can share as a region “swap shop” ▪ Look at skill mix & understanding this (what might constitute ideal NASC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Feedback form is on the Midland website – this will close on the 31 March ▪ High level action plan to be put together around the recommendations on page 37 	

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
		reworded. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Gaps identified by the region as a whole and also Appendix 5 is per DHB Lakes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Will send through correct data for Lakes 	telephone	
3.0	Meeting Close	11.45pm		
3.1	Next Meeting			

DRAFT