


Group A

Should the definition of a Pacific Provider change?

- 1) Yes – To cater for those with Pacific Island heritage (small %) also
 - Pacific face/identity has changed

- 2) What will Pacific provider development needs look like in 2012 and beyond?
 - Collective (Midland) Governance group – funding and contracts body
 - We need champions
 - How do we progress this? (Where to from here?)
 - Integrated with other organizations (Tertiary Education providers)



Group B

Question 1. Should the definition of Pacific provider change?

- Pacific providers – should consider
- Pacific protocols and competencies
 - Values and Beliefs
 - Mainstream services to support Pacific services – opportunities for collaboration
 - Best person for the job is the best person – may be pacific or non pacific (staff criteria)

- Development and professionalism – High quality Pacific providers
- Excellent services
- Communities input and engagement
- Aligned to BSMC

Question 2. What will Pacific provider development needs look like in 2012 and beyond?

- Taking a long-term view, sustainable workforce development investments
 - e.g. Schools (rural Pacific)
 - Focus on recruitment and retention
- Need for Pacific Advisory and/or Governance Group to coordinate/drive Pacific provider development (strategic view) for the region.